

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/698,317 10/27/2000 Byung Jin Choi PA09-06V02 6298 05/16/2003 7590 Kenneth C. Brooks **EXAMINER** Molecular Imprints, Inc. CULBERT, ROBERTS P Legal Dept. P.O. Box 81536 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Austin, TX 78708 1763

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		(ኦ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary	09/698,317	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Roberts Culbert	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status (A.S. A. C.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	·		
,	s action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under l Disposition of Claims 			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-76</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>13-76</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	visional application has been rec	eived.	
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesticAttachment(s)	2 priority unider 33 0.3.0. 99 120	and/ULIZI.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
			_

Application/Control Number: 09/698,317

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 13-44 and 57-60, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 355, subclass 72.
 - II. Claims 45-50, drawn to a method, classified in class 216, subclass 41.
 - III. Claims 51-56, and 61-76, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 259.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example laser ablation may be used instead of etching to form the desired formations on the upper surface of the optical flat.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as placing a powdered resin in the gap and heating instead of dispensing and curing a liquid.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected invention (MPEP § 806.05(i)).

Application/Control Number: 09/698,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Ken Brooks on 5/8/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (703) 305-7965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703) 308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/698,317

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

May 9, 2003

GREGORY MILLS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700